part of it. The yield will also be much less. So after preliminary investigation it was considered inadequate.

(4) Rs. 1,014 including the pay of the surveyors and lascars.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Who investigated the Arumakayam scheme?

Mr. M. D. Narayana Ayyar.—The Special Irrigation Assistant Engineer.

Removing iron rails—Trichur Police Station—enquiry into.

218. **Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.**—Will the Government be pleased to state how the enquiry referred to in the answer to question No. 23 of the Vrischigam Session of 1108 has terminated and will the details called for in the question be placed on the table of the House?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—The enquiry has been completed; final orders will be passed soon.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Will the details called for in the question be placed on the table? May I know why the details are not placed on the table?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—Sir, at the time the question was answered the matter was pending investigation.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—When was this matter brought to the notice of the Commissioner?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—I want notice.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—May I know how it was disposed of?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—The Inspector responsible for the loss of these rails was dismissed from the service on account of various other charges also.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Was any statement taken from the contractor?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—Yes.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—What was the statement?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—The purport of the statement is that it was with the consent of the Inspector that he took the rails—with the connivance of the Police Inspector.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Was any statement made against the Superintendent?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—He also stated that he had no permission of the D. S. P. and at the time of the enquiry the D. S. P. was not cited as a witness.

Mr. A. Sankara Poduval.—Was it a case of theft?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—Not exactly. It was done with the knowledge of the Inspector.

Site—Primary School for Vaduthala.

219. **Mr. K. T. Mathew.**—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the site for constructing a primary school at Vaduthala has been selected by the Government;

(b) whether the Government have received any petition for selecting any particular site; and if so;

(c) whether that site has been approved of by the Government;

(d) what is the approximate cost;

(e) whether any other site has been inspected for constructing the school buildings and if so;

(f) how many have been inspected and their approximate cost;

(g) whether there is a road leading to the site selected by the Government and if not;

(h) what steps have been taken by the Government to open a road to that place?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—(a) Yes.

(b) Yes. (more than one).

(c) Yes.

(d) Rs. 900 approximately.

(e) The site was selected after inspecting several alternative sites.

(f) Please see answer to (e). The cost of one is about Rs. 1,500 and of another Rs. 900.

(g) Yes.

(h) No answer required in view of the answer to question (g).

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—Is there not a large attendance of Muslim girls at the school?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—Yes.

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—Is not the changed site a centre of orthodox Ezhuvas?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—I do not know exactly. The site is better than the one on which the original school stood.

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—Is it not a place of orthodox Ezhuvas?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—There are some Ezhuvas there. But I do not know whether they are orthodox or not.

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—Will not the Pulaya boys be obstructed from going there?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—No. Why should it be?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly